1	Steven A. Alpert, NV Bar #8353 PRICE LAW GROUP, APC				
2					
3	5940 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 3014 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 Phone: (702) 794-2008				
4					
5	alpert@pricelawgroup.com Attorneys for Plaintiff,				
6	Susana Fuentes				
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
10					
11	SUSANA FUENTES,	Case No.: 2:21-cv-00674			
12	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT AND			
13	v.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL			
14		1. FCRA, 15 USC §1681 et seq.			
15	EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC,				
16					
17	Defendant.				
18					
19					
20					
21	Plaintiff Susana Fuentes	("Plaintiff" or "Mrs. Fuentes"),			
22	Plaintiff Susana Fuentes ("Plaintiff" or "Mrs. Fuentes"), through her attorneys, alleges the following against Defendant Equifax Information				
23					
24	Services, LLC ("Equifax"):				
25	INTRODUCTION				
26					
27	1. Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint is based on violations of the Fair Credit				
28	Reporting Act ("FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. §1681 et seq., against Equifax, as well as its				

agents for its unlawful reporting of inaccurate information on Plaintiff's credit report and for its failure to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation upon receiving notice of a dispute.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. The District Court has federal question jurisdiction over these claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1681.
- 2. Venue in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391(b)(2) in that a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this district.
- 3. Defendant transacts business here; as such, personal jurisdiction is established.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff Susana Fuentes is a natural person residing in the city of Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada.
- 5. Plaintiff is a *consumer* as defined by the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §1681a(c).
- 6. Defendant Equifax is a *credit reporting agency*, as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f)). On information and belief, Equifax is regularly engaged in the business of assembling, evaluating, and disbursing information concerning consumers for the purpose of furnishing *consumer reports*, as defined in 15 USC 1681a(d), to third parties. Equifax's principal place of business is located at 1550 Peachtree Street NW,

28

1	4. As such, the Midland Account was settled and had a \$0 balance as of August		
2 3	25, 2016.		
4	B. <u>Inaccurate Reporting</u>		
5	5. In or around September 2020, Plaintiff obtained her Equifax consumer credit		
6	report to ensure her Accounts were being reported accurately.		
8	6. Upon review of her credit report, Plaintiff discovered that Equifax were		
9	reporting the Midland Account inaccurately.		
10	7. Equifax was reporting the Midland Account as having a collection status, with		
12	balance of \$774, a past due balance of \$774 and a date of last payment on September		
13	01, 2016.		
14 15	8. The reporting of the Midland Account was inaccurate because Mrs. Fuentes		
16	had paid off the account in full in August 2016.		
17	C. <u>Plaintiff's Dispute Letters</u>		
18 19	9. On or about October 2, 2020, Plaintiff sent letters to Equifax disputing the		
20	inaccurate reporting of the Midland Account.		
21	10. The letter stated that the Midland Account [#xxxxxx8094] was settled and paid		
22 23	off on August 25, 2016.		
24	11. Upon information and belief, Equifax received Plaintiff's dispute letters.		
25	12. Upon information and belief, Equifax forwarded Plaintiff's disputes to		
26 27	Midland within 5 business days of receipt.		
28			

1	D. Responses to Plaintiff's Dispute Letters And Continued Inaccurate			
2 3	Reporting			
4	13. In or a	round November 2020, Equifax responded to Plaintiff's dispute letter.		
5	 14. Equifa	x's response indicated that the Midland Account was verified as		
6 7	accurate and continued to report it with as a collection account with \$774 past due			
8	15. Equifa	x failed to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation of Mrs. Fuentes'		
9	dispute.			
10 11	16. Equifa	x failed to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible		
12	accuracy of the information on Mrs. Fuentes' consumer credit report.			
13	17. As a r	result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has sustained actual damages		
14 15	including but not limited to, embarrassment, anguish, and emotional and mental pain			
16	18. Defend	dant's conduct reduced Plaintiff's access to credit by reporting		
17	materially misleading and patently false information on her consumer credit report.			
18 19	19. Upon i	information and belief, Plaintiff has applied for credit cards and has been		
20	approved at less favorable terms due to Defendant's inaccurate reporting.			
21	20. Upon i	nformation and belief, Plaintiff has applied for credit cards and has been		
22 23	denied credit due to Defendant's inaccurate reporting.			
24	21. Defend	dant's conduct has caused Mrs. Fuentes to suffer from a decreased credit		
25	score and decreased credit worthiness.			
26				
27				
28	II			

22. Defendant Equifax's conduct was willful and/or reckless in its reinvestigation of Mrs. Fuentes' dispute related to the Midland Accounts at issue.

COUNT I

Defendant Equifax

(Violations of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq.)

- 23. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 24. Defendant's conduct violated the FCRA. Defendant's violations include but are not limited to:
- 25. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) by failing to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the information concerning the individual about whom the report relates.
 - a. Defendant Equifax reported Plaintiff's Midland account as a collection account, with both current and past due balances, despite Plaintiff settling and paying off the accounts in 2016.
 - b. The inaccurate reporting of Plaintiff's information has caused her to suffer stress, anxiety, headaches, frustration, and emotional and mental pain and anguish, a decreased credit score and decreased credit worthiness.
 - c. Furthermore, Defendant received notice from Plaintiff that the information being reported on her credit report was inaccurate.

26. Further, the FCRA provides that if the completeness or accuracy of any item of information contained in a consumer's file at a consumer reporting agency is disputed by the consumer and the consumer notifies the agency directly of such dispute, the agency shall conduct a reasonable reinvestigation to determine whether the disputed information is inaccurate, or it must delete the item from the file within thirty (30) days of receiving the consumer's dispute notice. 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(2)(A).

- 27. The FCRA further requires the credit reporting agency, within 5 business days of receiving notice of the consumer's dispute, to provide notification of the dispute to the person who furnished the information in dispute and requires the credit reporting agency to "include all relevant information regarding the dispute that the agency received from the consumer." 15 U.S.C. §1681i(a)(2)(A). In conducting its reinvestigation of disputed information in a consumer report, the credit-reporting agency is required to "review and consider all relevant information submitted by the consumer."
- 28. Defendant failed to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation of the inaccuracy that Plaintiff disputed in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681i:
 - a. Defendant Equifax verified the Midland Account as being accurately reported and continued to report the account as a collection account,

- 1				
1	with both current and past due balances, information it knew or should			
2	have known was inaccurate.			
3	29. Defendant failed to review and consider all relevant information submitted by			
4	2). Describant faired to seview and consider an resevant information submitted by			
5	Plaintiff.			
6 7	30. Defendant's acts, as described above, were done willfully and knowingly.			
8	31. As a result of the foregoing violations of the FCRA, Defendant is liable to			
9	Plaintiff for actual damages, statutory damages, attorneys' fees and costs.			
10	PRAYER FOR RELIEF			
11				
12	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Susana Fuentes respectfully requests judgment be			
13	entered against Defendant for the following:			
14 15	A. Declaratory judgment that Defendant violated the FCRA;			
16	B. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1) or § 1681o(a)(1);			
17	C. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1);			
18 19	D. Punitive damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(2);			
20	E. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§			
21	1681n(a)(3) and 1681o(a)(2);			
22	100111(a)(3) and $10010(a)(2)$,			
23	F. Exemplary and punitive damages pursuant to NRS 42.005;			
24	F. Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may			
25	be allowed under the law; and			
26				
27	G. Any other relief that this Court deems appropriate.			
28				

JURY DEMAND Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38, Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury of all issues triable by jury. Respectfully submitted this 23rd day of April 2021. By: /s/ Steven A. Alpert Steven A. Alpert, NV Bar #8353 PRICE LAW GROUP, APC 5940 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 3014 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 Phone: (702) 794-2008 alpert@pricelawgroup.com Attorneys for Plaintiff, Susana Fuentes